(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau

(43) International Publication Date
18 October 2001 (18.10.2001)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 01/77861 A2

(51) International Patent Classification[7]: G06F 17/00

(21) International Application Number: PCT/GB01/01141

(22) International Filing Date: 15 March 2001 (15.03.2001)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
0008530.8 6 April 2000 (06.04.2000) GB

(71) Applicant *(for all designated States except US)*: **THE SPORTING EXCHANGE LTD** [GB/GB]; 14-16 Peterborough Road, London SE6 3BN (GB).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant *(for US only)*: **BLACK, Andrew, Wilson** [GB/GB]; 33 Cromford Road, London SW18 1NZ (GB).

(74) Agent: **VENNER, SHIPLEY & CO.**; 20 Little Britain, London EC1A 7DH (GB).

(81) Designated States *(national)*: AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW.

(84) Designated States *(regional)*: ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— *with declaration under Article 17(2)(a); without abstract; title not checked by the International Searching Authority*

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

WO 01/77861 A2

(54) Title: BETTING EXCHANGE SYSTEM

(57) Abstract:

Betting Exchange System

Field of the Invention

This invention relates to the field of betting, particularly but not exclusively to sports betting conducted over the Internet.

Background

A number of companies provide Internet based betting services. The majority of these are simply an extension of conventional betting services, offering fixed odds betting in which customers only have access to one side of the market, i.e. they can only efficiently back, or "buy", a particular outcome to occur at odds set by the bookmaker. However, it is often far easier to decide what is not going to occur rather than trying to decide what will occur. In this situation, though, customers are not able to place a bet to support their view. While it is true that they can try to simulate laying, i.e. "selling" the outcome by backing all other possible outcomes, this is highly unlikely to be profitable due to the overround charged by the bookmaker. The overround is the extent to which the bookmaker's total book exceeds 100% and represents the bookmaker's primary source of profit. In the UK, a typical overround is 10-15%.

Summary of the Invention

According to the present invention, there is provided a betting exchange system comprising server means configured to receive bet requests from a plurality of users over a communications network, each of said requests comprising a bet backing an outcome or laying an outcome, wherein the server means is operable to match respective bets which back and lay a given outcome. Allowing a user access to both sides of a betting transaction, by specifying whether he wishes to back or lay an outcome, provides the user with additional flexibility, and can facilitate a liquid two-way market. In addition, it can ensure the most efficient, and hence competitive, prices.

The bet requests can include a price at which the bet is to be placed, which can promote price competition and improve the efficiency of the market. If a better price is available to the user, the system can match the bet at the better price.

The server means can further comprise means for automatically generating an implied bet in respect of an outcome for which no bet requests have been received. This can generate arbitrage opportunities and so allow bets to be filled automatically by the system even though there may be more explicit interest in one side of the market than the other.

According to the invention, there is further provided a method of operating a server configuration to provide a betting exchange system, comprising receiving bet requests from a plurality of users over a communications network, each of said requests comprising a bet backing an outcome or laying an outcome, and matching respective bets which back and lay a given outcome.

According to the invention, there is also provided a computer program configured to provide a betting exchange system on a server machine, said program implementing the steps of receiving bet requests from a plurality of users via a communications network, each of said requests comprising a bet backing an outcome or laying an outcome, and matching respective bets which back and lay a given outcome.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Embodiments of the invention will now be described by way of example with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a schematic diagram showing a betting system according to the invention;

Figure 2 is a flow diagram showing the steps required to access the betting service provided by the betting system of Figure 1;

Figure 3 is a screen shot showing a pricing screen illustrating an example of betting on a football match;

Figure 4 is a flow diagram illustrating the operation of the betting exchange system according to the invention;

Figure 5 is a screen shot showing a first change in the pricing screen of Figure 3 following a bet placed;

Figure 6 is a screen shot showing a second change in the pricing screen of Figure 3 following a bet placed;

Figure 7 is a screen shot showing a pricing screen which illustrates the arbitrage feature of the invention;

Figure 8 is a screen shot showing the change in the pricing screen of Figure 7 following a bet placed;

Figure 9 is a screen shot showing a pricing screen illustrating one example of the creation of implied bets;

Figure 10 is a screen shot showing a pricing screen illustrating a further example of the creation of implied bets;

Figure 11 is a screen shot showing a pricing screen which illustrates line betting;

Figure 12 is a screen shot showing the change in the pricing screen of Figure 11 following a further bet placed;

Figure 13 is a screen shot showing a pricing screen which illustrates spread betting;

Figure 14 is a screen shot showing a change in the pricing screen of Figure 13 following a bet placed;

Figure 15 is a screen shot showing a change in the pricing screen of Figure 14 following a bet placed;

Detailed Description

Referring to Figure 1, a betting exchange system according to the invention is implemented on a server 1, for example a server machine running Windows NT™, connected to a back office database system 2, for example an Oracle or SQL relational database server. The database server 2 includes database storage for a comprehensive sports statistics service to be provided in conjunction with a betting service. The server 1 is connected to the Internet 3. The server is accessed over the Internet 3 by a plurality of client machines 4, for example personal computers running web browser software such as Microsoft Internet Explorer™ or Netscape Navigator™ and using dial-up connections through respective Internet Service

Providers 5. Access to the server 1 is restricted by, for example, a firewall and other known security measures. Other access methods are also envisaged, for example use of WAP (Wireless Application Protocol) phones to access a betting service running on a WAP server.

The server 1 includes a web server, for example the Microsoft IIS™ web server, to support web pages.

The betting exchange system is implemented in software and betting is permitted through pricing screens displayed on a web page, as shown for example in Figure 3 for fixed odds betting and Figure 11 for line betting. The operation of the betting exchange system is explained in detail below for different betting types.

Referring to Figure 2, a customer wishing to use the betting service provided by the betting exchange system accesses the website using his usual Internet access mechanism (step s1), and if he or she has previously registered, logs-in (step s2). If the customer has not previously registered, he goes through the registration procedure (step s3). Registered users have full access to all areas of the site. Only registered users can place bets on the exchange, subject to ensuring that there is sufficient credit in their account. Guest access to the site is also provided, but guests are not allowed to place bets on real events, although they are given full "read only" access to the site's statistics screens and betting screens. This allows them to follow the market closely. In addition, they are given access to a real time betting game that demonstrates how the betting exchange system works. As long as a user is not using the site on a registered basis, there is a button continuously visible that will take the user to the registration screen.

The registration procedure involves filling in a personal details form on the website's registration page, including an e-mail address, a user name and a password as well as the currency in which the customer would like his account to be managed. At the same time, an account is opened for the customer (step s4). Money can be deposited into the account via an Internet secure cash payment system, by telegraphic transfer or by telephone. Once the account has been opened, the

customer is sent an e-mail containing an authentication code. The first time that the user logs in with their username and password they are required to enter the authentication code, to validate the e-mail address given in the registration process. When the user logs in subsequently he does not need to re-enter the authentication code.

The website provides each customer with detailed information about his betting activity. For example, a customer can quickly pull up a list of all his bets which are outstanding, both those that have been filled and those which are pending, the meaning of which is explained in detail below. This list can be sorted by event, by size of bet and by type of bet. A customer can also obtain a complete historical list of all bets placed and the outcome of each bet (i.e. win or lose). Furthermore, the customer can analyse his betting history in a number of ways, for example over any time period and for individual sports. All historical bets can be sorted by sport, by size, by type and by success / failure.

The betting exchange system also produces a comprehensive statement of account. This will show details of all transactions that impact the customer's account (e.g. deposits, withdrawals, bets and so on) and will provide a full reconciliation showing how current balances have been achieved.

Customers can tailor the website to meet their own requirements. They can decide what level of confirmation they require when placing bets, choose how the betting information appears on the screen, for example, view more than one market on a screen at any one time or create individually customised own composite pages, and select how graphically intensive the various screens are.

Once the customer has logged on, the system displays the status of any outstanding bets from a previous session, the outcome of which has not yet been confirmed by the customer (step s5). The customer then chooses the event on which he or she wishes to bet from a list published on the website (step s6). The selection triggers the display of a pricing screen for the event (step s7).The different types of bet a customer can engage in are described in detail below.

Fixed Odds Betting

The betting exchange system according to the invention permits customers to lay events as well as to back them. When backing an outcome, the customer bets that the outcome will occur and makes a profit when that happens. If the outcome does not occur, then the customer loses his original stake. Conversely, when laying an outcome, the customer receives stake money and, if the outcome does not occur, keeps this stake money, thus making a profit. If the outcome does occur then the customer loses the stake money multiplied by the odds at which he accepted the stake. The operation of the system is illustrated by the example of a soccer match between Arsenal and Leicester.

There are three possible outcomes: Arsenal win, Leicester win or the game ends in a draw. The assumed odds on the three possible outcomes are as follows:

Arsenal to win	5 to 4
Leicester to win	2 to 1
Draw	7 to 2

By way of example, it is assumed that the following people bet on the match:

Alex backs Arsenal with a £100 bet, i.e. he bets that Arsenal will win
Belinda lays Arsenal for £100, i.e. she bets that Leicester will win or that the match will be drawn
Charlotte backs Leicester with a £75 bet, i.e. she bets that Leicester will win
David lays Leicester for £75, i.e. he bets that Arsenal will win or that the match will be drawn

If Arsenal win, Alex wins £125 (i.e. £100*5/4) and will also receive back his original £100 stake. In this case, Belinda pays out £125 as well as returning the £100 stake money she received. Charlotte loses her £75 stake. David keeps the £75 stake he originally received.

If Leicester win, Alex loses his £100, and Belinda keeps the £100 stake money she received. Charlotte wins £150 (i.e. £75*2/1) and also gets her original £75 stake back, while David pays out £150 and also returns the original £75 stake money he received.

If the match ends in a draw, Alex loses his £100 stake. Belinda keeps the £100 stake money. Similarly, Charlotte loses her £75 stake and David gets to keep the £75 he originally accepted.

Table 1. below summarises all of the possible outcomes:

	Alex			Belinda			Charlotte			David		
	Out	*In*	*+/-*	*Out*	*In*	*+/-*	*Out*	*In*	*+/-*	*Out*	*In*	*+/-*
Arsenal win	(100)	225	125	(225)	100	(125)	(75)	0	(75)	0	75	75
Leicester win	(100)	0	(100)	0	100	100	(75)	225	150	225	75	(150)
Draw	(100)	0	(100)	0	100	100	(75)	0	(75)	0	75	75

Table 1.

Figure 3 shows an example pricing screen 6 as it might appear after a number of bets have been placed for an Arsenal vs. Leicester match.

The pricing screen 6 gives price and size indications for both sides of the market for all possible outcomes for that event only. One side 7 of the market comprises the "backing" side, in which the prices and sizes available to back an outcome are displayed. The other side 8 comprises a "laying" side, in which the prices and sizes available to lay an outcome are displayed. The meaning of the price and size indications will become apparent from the detailed description below.

The convention for digital betting is that odds are displayed inclusive of the stake. For example, odds of 5/4 are shown as 2.25.

The pricing screen 6 shows, for example, the best three prices currently available on each side 7, 8 of the market and the sizes corresponding to each price, namely the amount of money that can be wagered at each of the prices. For example, a customer can back Arsenal to win at a price of 2.2 (box 9). This is the total amount he will receive back if he stakes £1, so the actual odds are 1.2 to 1, i.e. 6/5 in traditional parlance. This is the best price available and is valid for a total size of £115. This means that the customer can bet £115 on Arsenal to win at 2.2 and know that there are other customers who are willing to accept that bet. As a result, the bet will be filled immediately. The filling of a bet is also referred to herein as accepting or matching a bet.

Similarly, the pricing screen 6 also shows that a customer can lay Arsenal at a price of 2.3 (i.e. odds of 1.3 to 1) (box 10) and that there are other customers who are willing to put a total of £400 on Arsenal to win at those odds. Thus, the customer who wants to lay Arsenal at 2.3 can have that bet filled immediately if the stake size is £400 or less.

Other entries on the display screen 6 show that, for example, the best price available for backing Leicester is 3.1 for a stake size of £113 (box 11), the next best price is 3 for a stake size of £80 (box 12) and the best price for laying a draw is 4.5 for a stake size of £56 (box 13).

A customer enters a bet by entering his selection, stake and odds in the appropriate input boxes 14, 15, 16 on the display 6. For example, to lay Arsenal for £200 at 2.3, the customer enters "Arsenal" in the Selection box 14, "200" in the Stake box 15 and "2.3" in the Odds box 16 and clicks on the "Lay Selection" button 17.

As soon as the bets have been entered into the system, and confirmed, all customers looking at the pricing screen for the Arsenal vs. Leicester game will see their screens update immediately to show the new price and size quotations, reflecting the latest bets.

Customers are not allowed to place bets unless they have sufficient funds in their account to cover any outcome on the bet. When backing an event, the maximum amount a customer can lose is equal to the stake, whereas when laying an event the amount that could be lost is equal to the stake times the odds. If a customer backs more than one outcome in a particular event the maximum loss is simply the sum of all the stake money wagered. However, if a customer lays several outcomes in the same event the maximum loss is the highest individual loss less the other stake money (as the customer can only pay out on one event). Similarly, if a customer backs all outcomes in a particular event he is sure to have backed the winner or, in appropriate circumstances, all of the winners.

The betting exchange system keeps continuous track of every customer's credit exposure. Since the betting exchange system does not know whether or not pending bets placed by the customer will or will not be filled, exposure calculations are performed by looking at all possible outcomes and assuming the worst each time, bearing in mind any interdependencies that may exist between bets. Exposure calculations on odds bets are the easiest to perform of the various types of bet disclosed herein, as the payouts are always known and there can only be one winner. With other types of bet described below, such as line bets and spread bets, the system has to run a worst case scenario at each level at which the punter has struck a bet.

All customers receive a confirmation of the bets they have placed, for example over the Internet or by e-mail. They are able to determine how frequently these confirmations are sent, for example, after every bet, at the close of an event, at the end of each day and so on. In addition, customers are notified of all pending bets that have lapsed. The concept of a pending bet is explained in detail below.

Referring to Figure 4, a full explanation of an example of the operation of the system is now described in relation to a customer who wishes to put £200 on Arsenal to win at a price of 2.2, starting from the pricing screen display shown in Figure 3, and following on from the screen display step referred to in Figure 2 as step s7.

First, referring again to Figure 3, the customer enters a bet request by entering "Arsenal" in the Selection box 14, "200" in the Stake box 15 and "2.2" in the Odds box 16 and clicking on the "Back Selection" button 18 (step s8). The system checks if the bet is valid, for example, to ensure that the number ranges correspond to those recognised by the system (step s9), and if not informs the customer that the bet is invalid (step s10).

If the bet request is valid, the system displays a confirmation of the bet request, including a payout profile illustrating the possible profits and losses (step s11). The system then checks if the customer wishes to proceed (step s12) and if he does not, displays a message informing him that the bet request has been cancelled (step s13).

If the customer wishes to proceed, the system checks whether he has sufficient credit to place a bet (step s14), by reconciling the customer's account balance with the bet request and all outstanding unfilled, filled and settled bets. As mentioned above, the system performs exposure calculations to determine if, in a worst case scenario, the customer's account might go into negative territory if the bet request is accepted, in which case the bet request is refused (step s15).

If the bet request is accepted, the system assigns a unique reference number to it (step s16). Customers are informed of these numbers. In addition, the system assigns a unique reference to every portion of the bet request that is filled and keeps a record of the corresponding reference for the portion of the bet with which it is being matched. These references exist to provide an audit trail.

The system then fills as much of the bet as possible (step s17). In this example, referring again to Figure 3, the pricing screen 6 currently shows (box 9) that only £115 is available at the customer's chosen price of 2.2. Therefore, £115 is filled immediately. This amount is referred to herein as the filled amount. The remaining part of the bet, referred to herein as the order amount, is left on the system as a pending bet (step s18). In this example, the remaining order amount is £85. The system confirms the filled amount to the customer and asks if he or she wishes to

cancel the order amount (step s19). If the customer wishes the order amount to stay on the system, the system confirms this (step s20) and the pricing screen display is updated, to display the screen shown at Figure 5. This shows that the price of 2.2 to back Arsenal is no longer available, since this has been filled, the best price now being 2.1 for a size of £85 (box 19).

A new best price to lay Arsenal also appears on the updated pricing screen (box 20). This represents the pending bet left on the system. The pending bet shows up on the pricing screen 6 on the "lay" side 8 of the market which indicates that the bet is available to be filled from the "lay" side 8, since a pending bet on the "back" side 7 of the market immediately becomes available to be filled from the "lay" side of the market, and vice versa. Put another way, the first customer described above wishes to back Arsenal for £85 at a price of 2.2, so his bet will only be accepted when a second customer wishes to lay Arsenal for that amount at that price.

Referring again to Figure 4, if the customer wishes to cancel the order amount in response to the system request at step s19, the system checks to see whether this amount has already been wholly or partially filled (step s21). If the amount has already been wholly or partially filled, the system cancels as much of the order amount as possible and confirms this to the customer (step s22). If the order amount has not been filled, cancellation is confirmed (s23) and the resulting screen display is shown in Figure 6. The price of 2.2 to back Arsenal is again no longer available, but the best price to lay Arsenal remains at 2.3 (box 21).

In general terms, the amounts displayed on the pricing screen 6 as available at different prices, on both the "back" 7 and "lay" 8 side of the market, are an aggregation of all of the various pending bets which have been placed on the system. As long as a pending bet remains outstanding, the system will fill it as soon as it becomes possible to do so. For example, the bet placed above of £85 at 2.2 will be filled when another customer decides that they want to lay Arsenal to win at odds of 2.2. If only part of the order can be filled (e.g. someone is only prepared to lay Arsenal for an amount of £20) then the system will do this and leave the rest (£65 in this case) as a pending bet. Customers can always cancel their pending bets,

as well as any unfilled portion of a bet. However, once the system has matched up and "filled" any outstanding bets, subject to suitable confirmation, these bets cannot be cancelled.

Although the system aggregates all equivalent pending bets at the same price, it executes them in the order in which they arrived at the exchange.

For example, Alex submits a bet to lay Arsenal for £50 at a price of 2. Belinda comes along a little later and submits the same bet. Charlotte now submits a bet to back Arsenal at a price of 2 with an £80 bet. The system fills this bet by taking all of Alex's £50 and £30 of Belinda's £50, leaving £20 of Belinda's money still unfilled. Belinda's money effectively sits behind Alex's.

To ensure that customers have to make meaningful improvements in their offered odds if they want to queue jump ahead of other punters, the betting exchange system maintains a pricing structure for all bet types. For example, 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 are all acceptable prices for bets but 2.25 and 2.26 are not. Similarly 31 and 32 are acceptable but 31.5 is not, whereas 1.8, 1.85 and 1.9 are all acceptable. An exponential scale governs the increment size.

For example, referring to Figure 6, if a customer wishes to have a bet on Leicester at odds of 3.3, he sees on the pricing screen 6 that £273 is already available to lay at the price of 3.3 (box 22). If he places a bet request at that price, his amount will have to sit behind this amount. If there were no price structure in place, the customer could put in a bet request at 3.299, which would be virtually the same bet, but would get him to the front of the queue.

Best fill feature

A further feature of the invention is that the system will automatically fill a customer's bet to his best advantage. If, in the above example, the customer had simply entered that he wanted to have £200 on Arsenal to win at a price of 2.1 and the £115 was still available at a price of 2.2, then the system would automatically allocate the first £115 of the customer's £200 to the 2.2 price and the remaining £85 at the 2.1 price. This ensures that the customer always gets the best value

available on the system. The same is true if the customer is laying an outcome rather than backing it.

Referring to Figure 6, in addition to showing live price and size indications for each outcome, the pricing screen 6 also shows the highest and lowest prices that have been successfully filled (boxes 23, 24), the price at which the most recent bet was transacted (box 25), and the total volume of bets that have been filled (box 26). This information allows the customer to make a fully informed decision when considering placing a bet. All of the information on the screen is updated automatically by the system and reflects the views and actions of all participants in the market.

Arbitrage

There will be occasions with fixed odds betting when, even though one side of the market has not been taken up, it will still be possible to fill bets on the other side of the market, an operation which is referred to herein as arbitrage. The betting exchange system performs an arbitrage function by automatically filling bets in such a way that the system operator does not lose money. Arbitrage opportunities arise where a collection of bets of a similar type (i.e. to back or to lay), all on the same event, can be automatically filled by the exchange in the sure knowledge that whatever the outcome of the event, the system operator will not lose money.

For example, Alex is prepared to put £120 on Arsenal to win at a price of 2.0 and Charlotte is prepared to back Leicester to win at a price of 3.0, also for £120, and both have entered their requests into the system, in accordance with the procedure described above. The system will now automatically create an implied bet, namely a price at which it is willing to lay the draw. In this example it lays the draw for £40 at a price of 6.0. Referring to Figure 7, as there is now someone prepared to lay the draw, the pricing screen 6 shows that customers can back the draw immediately, for a total of £40 at a price of 6.0 (box 27). Assuming Edward now decides to accept the odds being offered for the draw and backs the draw at 6.0 for the whole £40, his bet will immediately be taken up. At the same time, all of Alex's £120 bet will be taken up, as will £80 of Charlotte's bet. The resulting pricing screen is shown in

Figure 8, in which all of the bets have been executed, leaving £40 of Charlotte's bet unfilled (box 28). The matching of bets for each outcome is illustrated in Table 2. below.

	Alex			Charlotte			Edward		
	Out	*In*	*+/-*	*Out*	*In*	*+/-*	*Out*	*In*	*+/-*
Arsenal win	(120)	240	120	(80)	0	(80)	(40)	0	(40)
Leicester win	(120)	0	(120)	(80)	240	160	(40)	0	(40)
Draw	(120)	0	(120)	(80)	0	(80)	(40)	240	200

Table 2.

Therefore, in this instance, even though no individual explicitly stated that they wanted to lay any of the outcomes, the betting exchange system is able to transact three separate bets.

An example of the way in which the system assesses the arbitrage position is to look at the book total, which is the sum of the reciprocal of the prices in a column expressed as a percentage, as shown for example in Figure 7 (boxes 29 and 30). In this example, 83.3% = (1/2 + 1/3) expressed as a percentage, and 16.7% = 1/6 expressed as a percentage.

On the "available to lay" side 8, there will be arbitrage if the book total is equal to or above 100%. On the "available to back" side 7 there will be arbitrage if the book total is equal to or below 100% and there are bets available for all possible selections. Referring to Figure 8, when Edward takes the bet on the draw, the book total for the "available to lay" side is 100% (1/2 + 1/3 + 1/6), which enables the system to execute arbitrage, resulting in the three executed bets.

In this example, in response to Alex's and Charlotte's bets, the system calculates the odds of x to lay the draw so as to fulfil the condition that:

(1/2 + 1/3 + 1/x)*100 = 100%, i.e. the probabilities of all the outcomes add up to 1 (certainty). Therefore, x=6.

The system calculates the sizes which will be filled by the arbitrage, by taking the minimum payout (price * size) over all selections, and then calculating the size for each selection which results in that payout. In this example, the minimum payout is £240 in respect of Alex's bet (£120*2), so to achieve this for Charlotte at a price of 3, £80 of her bet is filled. Similarly, the system calculates the size of the implied bet as £40, since 6*40 = 240.

The arbitrage price is generally unlikely to be a round number, and is marked up or down to the nearest acceptable number in the price structure of the system that will not cause the system to lose money.

The generation of implied bets by the betting exchange system and the marking up/down effect is further illustrated by reference to Figures 9 and 10, which show betting on an event which has only two possible outcomes, in this case a tennis match. Figures 9 and 10 each show the position after only a single bet of £100 at a price of 1.9 has been placed on Sampras. Figure 9 shows the pricing screen 6 after a bet to lay Sampras, while Figure 10 shows the pricing screen after a bet to back Sampras.

Referring to Figure 9, a bet 31 by a customer to lay Sampras causes the betting exchange system to generate an implied bet 32 on Henman, since there are only two possible outcomes, namely 'Sampras' and 'NOT Sampras'. In accordance with the principles of the invention, the implied bet appears on the Lay Selection side 8. The implied bet price and size is the reciprocal of the actual bet price and size (i.e. 1/1.9 + 1/x = 1), adjusted to conform to the pricing structure imposed by the betting exchange system. Therefore, in this example, a punter accepting the bet to back Sampras for £100 would have a possible return of £190, whereas the equivalent implied bet on Henman, generates a theoretical return (price times size) of £189.20.

Figure 10 illustrates that a bet to back Sampras generates a different price and size for the implied bet to lay Henman, again caused by the imposed pricing structure working to ensure that the system operator does not lose money.

Line Betting

In another example of the invention, the betting exchange system is used for another form of betting, known as line betting. This type of betting is particularly popular in the US and is similar in concept to spread betting.

Line betting can be thought of as handicapping, with the value of the line being set such that the only two possible outcomes are both equally likely. As a result, the odds on both outcomes are the same, i.e. evens.

The principles of line betting are illustrated by reference to the following example. England are to play New Zealand at rugby and the general view is that New Zealand will beat England by 12 points. Effectively, therefore, people believe that if New Zealand were to be handicapped by 12 points, either side would be as likely to win. A "draw" would still be possible if New Zealand beat England by 12 points. However, if New Zealand are handicapped by 12.5 points, a draw is not possible. Thus, the line in this example is the "New Zealand Supremacy line" and is set at +12.5. Figure 11 shows a pricing screen 33 for this example. A customer buys the line at +12.5 (box 34) if he thinks that New Zealand will win by more than 12 points and sells the line at 11.5 (box 35) if he thinks that New Zealand will win by less than 12 points, which includes the outcome that England win.

As stated above, the odds on the line on the betting exchange system are even money, since the system does not need to incorporate a bookmaker's overround into the pricing.

As with fixed odds betting, the pricing screen 33 shows price and size indications for the line and is divided into a buy section 36, indicating the lines available to buy, and a sell section 37, indicating the lines available to sell. Customers can either take the prices indicated or leave orders on the system, as in the case of the first

embodiment. For example, a customer buys the line at +12.5 for £120 by filling in the Selection box 38, which may be filled automatically by the system when there is only one selection, as in this example, putting £120 in the Stake box 39 and selecting +12.5 from the Line menu 40, as shown in Figure 12, and then clicking on the Buy button 41. The betting exchange system accepts the bet in respect of the available £95 (box 34) shown in Figure 11 and places the remaining £25 in the Sell section 37 as a line available to sell under +12.5 (box 42) shown in Figure 12. As in the case of the first embodiment, the system automatically fills all bets as soon as possible.

The returns on line betting equate to digital odds of 2.0. So, if a customer buys the line and wins, for example, New Zealand win by more than 12.5 points, he gets back his stake and the same amount again, less a commission charge, for example 4%. If he loses, he forfeits his stake but does not pay any commission.

As in the first embodiment describing fixed odds betting, the best fill feature which ensures that the system will automatically fill a customer's bet to his best advantage also applies to the case of a customer placing a line bet, the difference being that a customer receives a more favourable line instead of a more favourable price.

Spread Betting

In a further example of the invention, the betting exchange system provides a spread betting facility as illustrated with reference to Figures 13 to 15. Spread betting is similar to line betting in the sense that a customer buys or sells at a certain level.

In this example, illustrated by Formula 1 driver performances in the Korean Grand Prix, there are 85 points to play for, made up of 50 points for first place, 25 points for second and 10 points for third.

Referring to Figure 13, the pricing screen 43 shows bets "available to sell" for the first three drivers (boxes 44, 45, 46), with an additional bet "available to buy" for Hakkinen (box 47). Figure 14 illustrates the pricing screen 43 when a customer attempts to buy Coulthard at 14 (box 48), the system posting the bet as "available to sell" on the screen. As a result of this, an implied available bet is generated on "None of the above" (box 49). The price of the implied bet is 15, which, if taken up, would bring the total of the left hand column 50 to the required 85 points (100%). The size is £9, which is the minimum of all the sizes on the left hand side.

Figure 15 shows the pricing screen 43 after the bet on "None of the above" has been taken in full. The "None of the above" bet disappears and £9 is taken off of all the other bets in the left hand column 50.

The payout profile of a spread bet is not binary. When buying or selling levels, the punter does so on a per points basis. For example, if he buys the line at 21 for £10 a point, and the selection scores 50, he will make £290 (less commission). If the selection scores 0 he will lose £210. There may be various related selections in a spread event, in which case there will be possible arbitrage. This assumes that there is a fixed amount of points available for distribution on settlement of the event. Spread betting arbitrage has already been described above in relation to Figures 13 to 15.

Once an event has finished the betting exchange system will settle all bets. If a customer has won on a bet, the winnings are transferred to the customer's account on the system, less the, for example, 4% commission that the system operator charges. If a customer has lost, then the losing amount is deducted from the customer's account on the system. At the end of each day all accounts will be reconciled to ensure that it is only possible for any errors to exist in the system for a maximum of one day.

While the above invention has been described primarily in relation to betting over the Internet, any form of communications network which provides similar functionality is suitable to implement the invention.

Claims

1. A betting exchange system comprising server means configured to receive bet requests from a plurality of users over a communications network, each of said requests comprising a bet backing an outcome or laying an outcome, wherein the server means is operable to match respective bets which back and lay a given outcome.

2. A system according to claim 1, wherein each of the bet requests include a price at which the bet is to be placed.

3. A system according to claim 1 or 2, wherein the server means further comprises means for automatically generating an implied bet in respect of an outcome for which no bet requests have been received.

4. A system according to claim 3, wherein the server means is operable to provide, for display to each of said plurality of users, a price at which a bet can be made, and the size of the bet which can be made at said price, said price and size deriving from a received bet request or an implied bet.

5. A system according to any one of the preceding claims, wherein the communications network comprises the Internet.

6. A method of operating a server configuration to provide a betting exchange system, comprising receiving bet requests from a plurality of users over a communications network, each of said requests comprising a bet backing an outcome or laying an outcome, and matching respective bets which back and lay a given outcome.

7. A method according to claim 6, including providing for display to each of the plurality of users, the size of a bet which can be made at a given price.

8. A method according to claim 7, including conforming said price to a pricing structure arranged to control the prices at which bets are placed.

9. A method according to claim 8, wherein said pricing structure includes minimum price increments.

10. A method according to claim 9, wherein the size of said minimum price increments varies according to the price.

11. A method according to any one of claims 7 to 10 including, in the event that a bet request to make a bet at the given price exceeds the provided size, accepting the bet in respect of the provided size.

12. A method according to claim 11, further comprising accepting as a pending bet the amount by which the bet exceeds the provided size.

13. A method according to claim 12, further comprising providing the pending bet for display to each of the plurality of users.

14. A method according to any one of claims 7 to 13, comprising, in the event that a better price is available to the user than that included by the user in a bet request, matching the requested bet at said better price.

15. A method according to any one of claims 6 to 14, comprising generating an implied bet in respect of an outcome for which no bets have been received.

16. A method according to claim 15, including calculating the price of the implied bet for an outcome as the price which, when combined with the prices of the bets in respect of all other possible outcomes, substantially represents a certainty.

17. A method according to claim 15 or 16, including calculating the size of the implied bet as the size which, when multiplied by the price of the implied bet, substantially equals the minimum payout for all of the received bets.

18. A method according to any one of claims 15 to 17, wherein a bet request to back an outcome comprises a received bet from a first market side, and a bet request to lay an outcome comprises a received bet from a second market side, further comprising matching a received bet from one of the first and second market sides with an implied bet in the absence of a received bet from the other of said first and second market sides.

19. A method according to any one of claims 6 to 18, comprising matching respective bets as soon as bets capable of being matched become available.

20. A computer program configured to provide a betting exchange system on a server machine, said program implementing the steps of receiving bet requests from a plurality of users via a communications network, each of said requests comprising a bet backing an outcome or laying an outcome, and matching respective bets which back and lay a given outcome.

21. A betting exchange system substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

22. A method of operating a server configuration to provide a betting exchange system substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.

23. A computer program substantially as hereinbefore described with reference to the accompanying drawings.



Figure 1


s1
Connect to site
Registered?
No
s3
Register
s4
Open account
Yes
s2
Login
s5
Display outstanding bets
s6
Select event
s7
Display pricing screen

Figure 2


6
14
15
Arsenal vs Leicester
SELECTION
STAKE
ODDS
16
18
17
Back Selection
Lay Selection
7
PRICES AVAILABLE TO BACK
PRICES AVAILABLE TO LAY
8
(BETTER PRICING)
(BETTER PRICING)
9
Last
1 Arsenal
1.9 £125
2.1 £85
2.4 £750
2.2
2 Leicester
3 £80
3.4 £25
3.3
3 The Draw
3.4 £19
3.6 £5
5 £19
5.3 £15
3.8
12
11
13
10

Figure 3


Display pricing screen
s7
Enter bet request
s8
Bet valid?
s9
No
Inform customer bet request invalid
s10
Yes
Display confirmation
s11
Proceed?
s12
No
Bet cancelled
s13
Yes
Credit check
s14
No
Refuse bet request
s15
OK
Assign reference
s16
Fill bet
s17
Leave as pending bet
s18
Cancel order amount?
s19
No
Confirm order amount
s20
Yes
Check for filling
s21
No
Confirm order amount cancelled
s23
Yes
Cancel portion of order amount and confirm
s22

Figure 4



Figure 5



Figure 6



Figure 7



Figure 8



Figure 9



Figure 10



Figure 11



Figure 12



Figure 13



Figure 14


43
Korean Grand Prix Performance Index
SELECTION
STAKE
LINE
None of the Above Index
£8
15
Sell the line
Buy the line
LINES AVAILABLE TO SELL (BETTER LINES)
LINES AVAILABLE TO BUY (BETTER LINES)
Last
Low
High
Total
1 Hakkinen Index
2 Schumacher Index
3 Irvine Index
4 Coulthard Index
5 None of the Above Index
50

Figure 15

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

DECLARATION OF NON-ESTABLISHMENT OF INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 17(2)(a), Rules 13ter.1(c) and Rule 39)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	IMPORTANT DECLARATION	Date of mailing *(day/month/year)*
16 37953		14/06/2001
International application No.	International filing date *(day/month/year)*	(Earliest) Priority date *(day/month/year)*
PCT/GB 01/ 01141	15/03/2001	06/04/2000
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC	G06F17/60	
Applicant		
THE SPORTING EXCHANGE LTD		

This International Searching Authority hereby declares, according to Article 17(2)(a), that **no international search report will be established** on the international application for the reasons indicated below

1. [X] The subject matter of the international application relates to:
   - a. [ ] scientific theories.
   - b. [ ] mathematical theories
   - c. [ ] plant varieties.
   - d. [ ] animal varieties.
   - e. [ ] essentially biological processes for the production of plants and animals, other than microbiological processes and the products of such processes.
   - f. [X] schemes, rules or methods of doing business.
   - g. [ ] schemes, rules or methods of performing purely mental acts.
   - h. [ ] schemes, rules or methods of playing games.
   - i. [ ] methods for treatment of the human body by surgery or therapy.
   - j. [ ] methods for treatment of the animal body by surgery or therapy.
   - k. [ ] diagnostic methods practised on the human or animal body.
   - l. [ ] mere presentations of information.
   - m. [ ] computer programs for which this International Searching Authority is not equipped to search prior art.

2. [ ] The failure of the following parts of the international application to comply with prescribed requirements prevents a meaningful search from being carried out:
   [ ] the description [ ] the claims [ ] the drawings

3. [ ] The failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions prevents a meaningful search from being carried out:
   - [ ] the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.
   - [ ] the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.

4. Further comments:

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority	Authorized officer
European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Mar'a Rodr'guez Nõvoa

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM PCT/ISA/ 203

The subject-matter claimed in claims 6-19,22 falls under the provisions of Article 52(2) and (3) EPC, such subject-matter relating to a method of doing business as such.

Claims 1-5,20,21,23 relate to a conventional system or computer program for performing the business method of claims 6-19,22. Although these claims do not literally belong to the method category, they essentially claim protection for the same commercial effect as the method claims. The Search Division considers that searching this subject-matter would serve no useful purpose. It is not at present apparent how the subject-matter of the present claims may be considered defensible in any subsequent examination phase in front of the EPO with regard to the provisions of Articles 54 and 56 EPC (novelty, inventive step; see also Guidelines B-VII, 1-6.

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that claims relating to inventions in respect of which no international search report has been established need not be the subject of an international preliminary examination (Rule 66.1(e) PCT). The applicant is advised that the EPO policy when acting as an International Preliminary Examining Authority is normally not to carry out a preliminary examination on matter which has not been searched. This is the case irrespective of whether or not the claims are amended following receipt of the search report or during any Chapter II procedure. If the application proceeds into the regional phase before the EPO, the applicant is reminded that a search may be carried out during examination before the EPO (see EPO Guideline C-VI, 8.5), should the problems which led to the Article 17(2) declaration be overcome.

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.